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## INTRODUCTION

## Background

The Washington State Department of Transportation has operated ramp meters in the Seattle area since 1981. Previous before-and-after studies have yielded positive results. A new ramp metering algorithm call "fuzzy logic" was developed in 1999. The effectiveness of the fuzzy logic algorithm was analyzed prior to its large-scale implementation. This evaluation examines the effectiveness of ramp metering with the application of fuzzy logic.

## Locations

The locations of the nine ramp meters included in this evaluation are listed below. All ramp meters were initially activated on July 19th, 1999.

Table 1: Ramp Meter Locations

| Southbound onramp | I-405 milepost | Northbound onramp | I-405 milepost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112th Ave SE | 9.29 | SR 169 * | 4.00 |
| NE 44th St | 7.47 | Sunset Blvd NE | 4.53 |
| N 30th St | 6.51 | Park Ave N | 5.43 |
| Park Ave N | 5.43 | N 30th St | 6.51 |
|  |  | NE 44th St | 7.47 |

[^0]
## TRAVEL TIME AND SPEED ANALYSIS

## Traffic Data Collection

Travel times and speeds were manually recorded by drivers traveling the study corridor in the general-purpose lanes during peak-hour traffic on Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays.

To establish a baseline for comparison, before data were collected from June $17^{\text {th }}$ to July $1^{\text {st }}, 1999$. After the ramp meters were activated on July $19^{\text {th }}$, after data were collected from August $17^{\text {th }}, 18^{\text {th }}$, and $19^{\text {th }}$.

## Data Processing

Recorded travel times were filtered in two ways to help determine if the data should be discarded or corrected. First, any data for times with accidents, disabled vehicles, or inclement weather that affected traffic were discarded. The idea was to keep everything else constant with ramp meters being the only variable. Trials covering less than the entire study corridor were also discarded.

Travel times were also checked by dividing them by the distances between interchanges to yield segment speeds. If the resulting speed was over 80 mile per hour, the data were either discarded or checked for error. Most errors arose when drivers incorrectly identified checkpoint locations at one of two confusing intersections. Besides filtering for excessive speeds, another way to check for errors was to examine speeds and travel times on adjacent freeway segments (or from previous and successive runs) for consistency.

Because speeds recorded at travel time checkpoints were not always representative of segment speeds, average calculated speeds were used for all before and after comparisons. In addition to filtering the data, final computations used median averages, rather than mean averages, in order to mitigate the influence of outlying data.

## Analysis Results

Northbound

The travel time and trip speed results are summarized below in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2: Northbound Total Travel Times

| Time of day | Before travel <br> time <br> (minutes) | After travel <br> time <br> (minutes) | Net time <br> saved <br> (minutes) | Net time <br> saved <br> (percentage) | Number <br> of trials <br> before | Number <br> of trials <br> after |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ to 700 | 22.01 | 17.43 | $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | 38.62 | 22.88 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ to 900 | 36.53 | 20.82 | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | 20.52 | 12.12 | $\mathbf{8 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | 10 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ to 1100 | 12.97 | 9.76 | $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 0}$ to 1500 | 9.98 | 11.29 | $\mathbf{- 1 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 3 \%}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ to 1600 | 10.33 | 12.17 | $\mathbf{- 1 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 \%}$ | 7 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ | 10.35 | 10.78 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 \%}$ | 9 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ | 10.45 | 10.61 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 \%}$ | 7 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ to 1900 | 9.90 | 10.78 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 9 \%}$ | 6 | 8 |

Table 3: Northbound Median Speeds

| Time of day | Before <br> speed <br> (mph) | After <br> speed <br> (mph) | Speed <br> improvement <br> (mph) | Speed <br> improvement <br> (percentage) | Number <br> of trials <br> before | Number <br> of trials <br> after |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ | 27 | 34 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ to 800 | 15 | 26 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ to 900 | 16 | 28 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ to 1000 | 29 | 48 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | 10 | 10 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 1 0 0}$ | 45 | 60 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 0}$ to 1500 | 59 | 52 | $\mathbf{- 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 1 \%}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ | 57 | 48 | $\mathbf{- 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 5 \%}$ | 7 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ to 1700 | 57 | 54 | $\mathbf{- 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 4 \%}$ | 9 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ | 56 | 55 | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 \%}$ | 7 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ to 1900 | 59 | 54 | $\mathbf{- 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 \%}$ | 6 | 8 |

The results indicate that ramp meters effectively increase speeds during the morning commute, but not for the evening commute. The low number of trials are insufficient for performing a statistical analysis. Figures 1 and 2 are graphical representations of the above tables. Time periods with the worst congestion prior to ramp metering showed the greatest improvements in both travel times and trip speeds.

Figure 1: Northbound Travel Times


Figure 2: Northbound Median Speeds


Ramp meters are not normally turned on during periods of high freeway speeds, such as the northbound I-405 evening commute.

The percentage of speed improvement is plotted in Figure 3 to show ramp meters' effectiveness at different times throughout the day. Because traffic volumes and patterns will change over time, mainline speeds are better indicators of the most effective time to operate ramp meters than time of day. "Percentage of Speed Improvement" is plotted versus "Before Average Trip Speed" in Figure 4 to show at what freeway speeds ramp meters should be activated.

Table 4: Before and After Speeds of Northbound Traffic

| Time of day | Before average <br> trip speed (mph) | Speed improvement <br> (percentage) | After average Trip Speed <br> [not plotted] (mph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ | 27 | $\mathbf{2 6 \%}$ | 34 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | 15 | $\mathbf{7 0 \%}$ | 26 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ to 900 | 16 | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | 28 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | 29 | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | 48 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ to 1100 | 45 | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | 60 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ | 59 | $\mathbf{- 1 1 \%}$ | 52 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ | 57 | $\mathbf{- 1 5 \%}$ | 48 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ | 57 | $\mathbf{- 4 \%}$ | 54 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ | 56 | $\mathbf{- 1 \%}$ | 55 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 0 0}$ | 59 | $\mathbf{- 8 \%}$ | 54 |

Figure 3: Speed Improvement of Northbound Traffic as a Function of Time


Figure 4: Speed Improvement of Northbound Traffic as a Function of Before Speeds


Table 4 and Figure 4 show that ramp meters are effective in the morning commute for the range between 15 and 55 mph (extrapolating). The results suggest that ramp meters should not be activated when average traffic speeds are higher than 55 mph .

## Southbound

Similar to northbound, the results shown in Tables 5 and 6 indicate positive results for the morning commute and most of the afternoon commute. Again, there are insufficient data to support a statistical analysis.

Table 5: Northbound Total Travel Times

| Time of day | Before travel <br> time <br> (minutes) | After travel <br> time <br> (minutes) | Net time <br> saved <br> (minutes) | Net time <br> saved <br> (percentage) | Number <br> of trials <br> before | Number <br> of trials <br> after |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ to 700 | 11.83 | 10.75 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ to 800 | 17.88 | 13.13 | $\mathbf{4 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ to 900 | 11.10 | 9.73 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | 7 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ to 1000 | 10.87 | 10.00 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | 9 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 0}$ to 1500 | 28.42 | 19.33 | $\mathbf{9 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ to 1600 | 22.63 | 21.04 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | 5 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ to 1700 | 19.67 | 20.20 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 \%}$ | 9 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ to 1800 | 20.70 | 19.53 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | 3 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ to 1900 | 17.57 | 17.97 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 \%}$ | 8 | 3 |

Table 6: Northbound Median Speeds

| Time of day | Before <br> speed <br> (mph) | After <br> speed <br> (mph) | Speed <br> improvement <br> (mph) | Speed <br> improvement <br> (percentage) | Number <br> of trials <br> before | Number <br> of trials <br> after |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ | 50 | 55 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ to 800 | 33 | 45 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ to 900 | 53 | 61 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | 7 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | 54 | 59 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | 9 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ | 21 | 31 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ | 26 | 28 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | 5 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ | 30 | 29 | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 \%}$ | 9 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ | 28 | 30 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | 3 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ to 1900 | 34 | 33 | $\mathbf{- 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 \%}$ | 8 | 3 |

Table 7 and Figures 5 and 6 show the effective range of ramp meters on southbound traffic.

Table 7: Before and After Speeds of Southbound Traffic

| Time of day | Before average <br> trip speed (mph) | Speed improvement <br> (percentage) | After average Trip <br> Speed [not plotted] <br> (mph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ | 50 | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | 55 |
| $\mathbf{7 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | 33 | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | 45 |
| $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ to 900 | 53 | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ | 61 |
| $\mathbf{9 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | 54 | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | 59 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0 0}$ to 1500 | 21 | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | 31 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ | 26 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | 28 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ | 30 | $\mathbf{- 3 \%}$ | 29 |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0 0}$ to 1800 | 28 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ | 30 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 0 0}$ | 34 | $\mathbf{- 2 \%}$ | 33 |

Figure 5: Speed Improvement of Southbound Traffic as a Function of Time


Figure 6: Speed Improvement of Southbound Traffic as a Function of Before Speeds


Ramp meters are effective from 33 to 55 mph during the morning commute. Although there are no data to for speeds less than 33 mph because southbound morning volumes are light, meters are probably also effective at lower speeds.

Similarly, the effective range for the afternoon commute tops out at 30 mph and could go higher, but there are no data to support it.

Since the ramp meters' effective range for southbound traffic is limited in one way or another, the effective range derived from the northbound calculation is more acceptable.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

According to the travel time study, the Renton ramp meters provide a travel time savings of 3 to 16 minutes depending on time of day, with traffic speeds increasing by 7 to 20 mph.

Although the meters are currently most effective between the hours of 6 and 10 AM , they should be activated whenever freeway speeds drop below 55 mph .

## APPENDIX: I-405 Travel Time Study Summary

By Paul Neel

Ramp metering began on I-405 in the Renton area on July 19, 1999. Affected ramps included: SR 169 to NB (PM only), Sunset to NB, Park to NB and SB, NE $30^{\text {th }}$ to NB and SB, NE $44^{\text {th }}$ to NB and SB, and SE $112^{\text {th }}$ to SB. A premetering travel time study was conducted during the last half of June with runs being made between SE $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. in Bellevue and SR 167. From August $17^{\text {th }}$ to $19^{\text {th }}$ the runs were repeated to find out how ramp metering affected travel times through the corridor. The numbers below were arrived at by averaging the travel times together for one hour time periods.

Preliminary results of the study show overall a significant decrease in travel times with ramp metering in place. A number of time slices show reductions upwards of $40 \%$. However, caution should be taken in interpreting these results. We were able to gather only about 4 days before ramp metering and 3 days after ramp metering due to time and staffing constraints. With this small sample size just a day or two of abnormal traffic could alter the outcome.

Northbound I-405 during the morning commute showed the largest reductions in travel times. Southbound I-405 during the same time period showed moderate reductions. In the afternoon northbound actually showed a slight increase in travel times while southbound showed large decreases in the early afternoon and slight decreases through the rest of the evening.

| Northbound <br> Time |  |  |  |  | Before | After | Change | \% |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Before | Couthbound |  |  |  |  |
| After | Change |  |  |  | Change |  |  |  |
| $6: 00$ | $20: 30$ | $17: 26$ | $3: 04$ | $-15 \%$ | $13: 48$ | $11: 04$ | $2: 44$ | $-20 \%$ |
| $7: 00$ | $44: 41$ | $24: 07$ | $20: 34$ | $-46 \%$ | $20: 09$ | $13: 08$ | $7: 01$ | $-35 \%$ |
| $8: 00$ | $37: 43$ | $21: 25$ | $16: 18$ | $-43 \%$ | $13: 14$ | $9: 55$ | $3: 19$ | $-25 \%$ |
| $9: 00$ | $21: 09$ | $12: 20$ | $8: 49$ | $-42 \%$ | $11: 50$ | $9: 56$ | $1: 54$ | $-16 \%$ |
| $10: 00$ | $12: 31$ | $9: 45$ | $2: 46$ | $-22 \%$ | $11: 31$ | NA | NA | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $14: 00$ | NA | $13: 08$ | NA | NA | $34: 02$ | $17: 56$ | $16: 06$ | $-47 \%$ |
| $15: 00$ | $11: 06$ | $13: 29$ | $2: 23$ | $21 \%$ | $26: 52$ | $20: 11$ | $6: 41$ | $-25 \%$ |
| $16: 00$ | $11: 38$ | $12: 20$ | $0: 42$ | $6 \%$ | $21: 44$ | $20: 30$ | $1: 14$ | $-6 \%$ |
| $17: 00$ | $10: 44$ | $10: 55$ | $0: 11$ | $2 \%$ | $23: 22$ | $20: 05$ | $3: 17$ | $-14 \%$ |
| $18: 00$ | $10: 19$ | $10: 21$ | $0: 02$ | $0 \%$ | $18: 19$ | $17: 09$ | $1: 10$ | $-6 \%$ |
| $19: 00$ | NA | $10: 00$ | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |


[^0]:    * Metering is not activated for the morning commute due to excessive demand.

